

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Rennie
Given Name	Steve
Person ID	1286384
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Rennie
Given Name	Steve
Person ID	1286384
Title	JPA 34 M6 Junction 25
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The plan does not deal with the facts.</p> <p>The road network is already under stress at this junction and on the A road A49. It is inevitable that there will be considerable increase in traffic. There will be an obvious increase in pollution and an impact on noise both during construction and in the period of operation.</p> <p>The facts seem to have been ignored in the planning process with saying that jobs are more important.</p> <p>People in the immediate vicinity do not like the proposals but are being ignored.</p> <p>The pandemic has highlighted the importance of quality of life and the value of green space.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Don't build on green belt. It is not necessary. You might want a build, but you don't need to
Family Name	Rennie
Given Name	Steve
Person ID	1286384
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	.
Family Name	Rennie
Given Name	Steve
Person ID	1286384
Title	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the	.

consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Rennie
Given Name	Steve
Person ID	1286384
Title	JPA 37: West of Gibfield
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	